

Workflow

Publication

Submission

Review

Copyediting

Production

Round 1

Round 1 Status

Submission accepted.

Reviewer's Attachments

[Search](#)

	7724-1	, 4 hasil review 1-blind.docx	February 22, 2021
	7781-1	, 4 hasil review 2-blind.docx	March 1, 2021

Revisions

[Search](#)

[Upload File](#)

	8551-1	Article Text, 5 edit author.docx	June 29, 2021	Article Text
---	--------	----------------------------------	---------------	--------------

Review Discussions

[Add discussion](#)

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
 Hasil revisi	rizki_r 2021-06-01 08:32 AM	-	0	<input type="checkbox"/>



Fiat Justisia
Jurnal Ilmu Hukum
FAKULTAS HUKUM UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG

Gedung B Fakultas Hukum
Jl. Prof. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Gedong Meneng Bandar Lampung - 35145
<http://jurnal.fh.unila.ac.id/index.php/fiat> | fiatjustisia@fh.unila.ac.id

CATATAN HASIL REVIEW
(REVIEW NOTES)

KODE NASKAH (ARTICLE NUMBER):

No.	Materi Penilaian (Material Assessment)	Catatan (Notes)
1.	Judul (Title)	Environmental Corruption Prevention Policies Through Independent Agencies (Accepted)
2.	Abstrak (Abstract)	Accepted
3.	Pendahuluan (Introduction)	The introduction must be to the point. The contents are problems that exist between the legal problem with practice so that through research you will provide more solutions. The number of paragraphs is less or more 3-4 paragraphs. Background must be explain the reasons of legal and empirical problems formulation and discussion. Descriptions must be clear, accurate, supported by actual data or facts that are relevant to the legal scoope.
4.	Metode (Methods)	Sufficient
5.	Analisis dan Pembahasan (Analysis and Discussion)	Need more analysis and legal studies about the result of research.



Fiat Justisia

Jurnal Ilmu Hukum

FAKULTAS HUKUM UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG

Gedung B Fakultas Hukum
Jl. Prof. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Gedong Meneng Bandar Lampung - 35145
<http://jurnal.fh.unila.ac.id/index.php/fiat> | fiatjustisia@fh.unila.ac.id

6.	Penutup (<i>Conclusion</i>)	Need to add a statement that expresses the author's thoughts from the results of the study.
7.	Daftar Pustaka (<i>Bibliography</i>)	The literature used is good, accurate and relevant to the legal problem. Library materials should be published in the last 3 years or the latest.
8.	Catatan Khusus (<i>Special Notes</i>)	Please use the technical citation clearly. Please check this article using turnitin or plagiarism detector before submit it (this article 19%).

PENILAIAN NASKAH (*SUBMISSION DECISION*)*

1. Diterima (*Accepted*).

2. Diterima dengan revisi seperlunya oleh redaksi (*Accepted with some revision by editorial board*).

3. Diterima dengan beberapa revisi oleh penulis (*Accepted with some revision by author*).

4. Tidak dapat diterima (*Rejected*).



Fiat Justisia

Jurnal Ilmu Hukum

FAKULTAS HUKUM UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG

Gedung B Fakultas Hukum
Jl. Prof. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Gedung Meneng Bandar Lampung - 35145
<http://jurnal.fh.unila.ac.id/index.php/fiat> | fiatjustisia@fh.unila.ac.id

CATATAN HASIL REVIEW (REVIEW NOTES)

KODE NASKAH (*ARTICLE NUMBER*): 2

No.	Materi Penilaian (<i>Material Assessment</i>)	Catatan (<i>Notes</i>)
1.	Judul (<i>Title</i>)	The author must be clarify whether Environmental Corruption has the same meaning with corruption caused the environmental quality to deteriorate or not? It is quite ambigious since it has different meaning and shall not be used alternatively
2.	Abstrak (<i>Abstract</i>)	Clear enough, just a small word missing error on the sentence “bureaucratic”
3.	Pendahuluan (<i>Introduction</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The author must re-check the sources of the data that shown in the articles.- I put all the mention of the correction in the comment box in the mentioned article.
4.	Metode (<i>Methods</i>)	There is no information about the methods in the body of text, only a few line in the abstract.
5.	Analisis dan Pembahasan (<i>Analysis and Discussion</i>)	The author must put any philosophical and research ground matters as based whether to consider does environmental corruption has the meaning with “corruption causes environmental quality”? The author must clarify indeed; Author must make it clear. Somehow the author want to study about the correlation between corruption and environmental policty; sometimes the author assumed that there is strong assumption that there is a correlation between CPI and corruption causes environmental quality to deteriorate; sometime you mention about the level of government corruption and the flexibility of policies in the environmental sector. My point here is: the author must not use any kinds of these interchanegably. Each studies has it



Fiat Justisia

Jurnal Ilmu Hukum

FAKULTAS HUKUM UNIVERSITAS LAMPUNG

Gedung B Fakultas Hukum

Jl. Prof. Soemantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Gedong Meneng Bandar Lampung - 35145
<http://jurnal.fh.unila.ac.id/index.php/fiat> | fiatjustisia@fh.unila.ac.id

		<p>background.</p> <p>Please make it clear, understandable and relate to the discussion that build in this article.</p> <p>Regarding the Figure 1:</p> <p>Is there reference of theory that author can referred? Since the research result you referred were coming from several countries with a different political background for fighting corruption, and it will impacted to the policy of corruption eradication and the environmental policy. Thus what I mean here is, it needs more philosophical consideration indeed to put as the result as a base to mention about the patterns of Environmental Corruption.</p> <p>For the conclusion on the needed of the Independent Institution/Body:</p> <p>If the author suggest on the independent institution/body, it must be gave the philosophical on why.</p> <p>As it must be remembered that Indonesia has over on the number of independent special body indeed. So why don't just suggest KPK with an extension department on environmental investigation?</p>
6.	Penutup (<i>Conclusion</i>)	<p>Clear enough with a note to do more clarification on the philosophical basic why does Indonesia needs that Independent Body as it mentioned in the review on the analysis part.</p>
7.	Daftar Pustaka (<i>Bibliography</i>)	<p>Sufficient enough (at least most of the references are below 10 years)</p>
8.	Catatan Khusus (<i>Special Notes</i>)	<p>The article is good. It will be more good, if the author did not only use comparative study. It needs to exercise with philosophical reasons.</p>